

DEBATE, Dec. 13, 1851.
Action Against the Chief Secretary for Ireland—
Laid Investment Company.

This action has been looked forward to for months, with great interest, in this city, as it was well known that a subpoena had been served upon Lord Clarendon to appear as a witness, and it was expected that a good deal of Dublin Castle diplomacy would be disclosed or brought out on the occasion.

Mr. Whitehead, with whom was Mr. Keogh, the member of Parliament for Athlone, and Mr. O'Driscoll, for the plaintiff, stated that Mr. O'Brien brought this action to recover the sum of £7,000 for work and labor done, as proprietor and editor of the World newspaper.

It was elicited from Mr. Birch, on examination that he had received £3,700 for his services; but he said, though his paper did not circulate 1,500 copies, he thought £3,000 a year would not be too much for his labors in the cause of law and order, and the suppression of the Irish rebellion in 1848.

Lord Clarendon was examined, and made the return characteristically before giving any answer to the questions. He acknowledged having seen Mr. Birch, and introduced him to Sir William Somerville, that Mr. Birch had offered his services at a time when he was certainly in some danger, and he had endeavored to do his duty to accept of the offer of any person in their support.

The Lord Chief Justice briefly charged the jury, and after a few minutes' absence, returned a verdict for the defendant, and sixpence costs. The whole transaction is shameful, and the disclosure made damaging to both parties.

The late King of Hanover was chancellor of the Irish college, and his will was to bequeath the Irish property, he has lately purchased in the Queen's county, and has intimated to his tenants that he will soon be returning to the Hon. the Earl of Clarendon, his personal representative in this matter.

The late King of Hanover was chancellor of the Irish college, and his will was to bequeath the Irish property, he has lately purchased in the Queen's county, and has intimated to his tenants that he will soon be returning to the Hon. the Earl of Clarendon, his personal representative in this matter.

Mr. Whitehead, with whom was Mr. Keogh, the member of Parliament for Athlone, and Mr. O'Driscoll, for the plaintiff, stated that Mr. O'Brien brought this action to recover the sum of £7,000 for work and labor done, as proprietor and editor of the World newspaper.

LOCAL AFFAIRS.

The American Artists' Association.
The following is the address delivered by William Wetmore, Esq., on Thursday evening, prior to the distribution of the price of the American Artists' Association.

Art, like everything else, has its mission to perform. It has its origin in the innate sentiment of a people, and is fostered and perfected by education and refinement.

The state of civilization of any country may be read in the condition of its monuments of art—and indeed, many countries owe the fame of their greatness to the monuments of art which they have left behind.

It was elicited from Mr. Birch, on examination that he had received £3,700 for his services; but he said, though his paper did not circulate 1,500 copies, he thought £3,000 a year would not be too much for his labors in the cause of law and order, and the suppression of the Irish rebellion in 1848.

The late King of Hanover was chancellor of the Irish college, and his will was to bequeath the Irish property, he has lately purchased in the Queen's county, and has intimated to his tenants that he will soon be returning to the Hon. the Earl of Clarendon, his personal representative in this matter.

Mr. Whitehead, with whom was Mr. Keogh, the member of Parliament for Athlone, and Mr. O'Driscoll, for the plaintiff, stated that Mr. O'Brien brought this action to recover the sum of £7,000 for work and labor done, as proprietor and editor of the World newspaper.

The late King of Hanover was chancellor of the Irish college, and his will was to bequeath the Irish property, he has lately purchased in the Queen's county, and has intimated to his tenants that he will soon be returning to the Hon. the Earl of Clarendon, his personal representative in this matter.

Mr. Whitehead, with whom was Mr. Keogh, the member of Parliament for Athlone, and Mr. O'Driscoll, for the plaintiff, stated that Mr. O'Brien brought this action to recover the sum of £7,000 for work and labor done, as proprietor and editor of the World newspaper.

Mr. Whitehead, with whom was Mr. Keogh, the member of Parliament for Athlone, and Mr. O'Driscoll, for the plaintiff, stated that Mr. O'Brien brought this action to recover the sum of £7,000 for work and labor done, as proprietor and editor of the World newspaper.

Supreme Court—Part Second.

Before Judge Sawney.
Jan. 15.—In re: the estate of John W. Aldrich, deceased.
The defendant, John W. Aldrich, was committed by the defendant on the 20th April, 1851, to the custody of the sheriff, and he was held in custody until the 10th of May, 1851, when he was released.

City Intelligence.
The extension of the Harlem Railroad to Chatham Four Corners, Columbia County, N. Y., where it intersects the Western Railroad, is being actively prosecuted.

Brooklyn City Intelligence.
Brooklyn Society for the Relief of the Destitute.
The annual meeting of the Brooklyn Society for the Relief of the Destitute was held in the chapel of the Female Academy, in Brooklyn, on Monday evening, the 11th inst.

United States District Court.
Before Judge Bates.
Jan. 15.—In re: the estate of John W. Aldrich, deceased.
The defendant, John W. Aldrich, was committed by the defendant on the 20th April, 1851, to the custody of the sheriff, and he was held in custody until the 10th of May, 1851, when he was released.

Court of General Sessions.
Before Judge Robb and Alderman Ross.
Jan. 15.—In re: the estate of John W. Aldrich, deceased.
The defendant, John W. Aldrich, was committed by the defendant on the 20th April, 1851, to the custody of the sheriff, and he was held in custody until the 10th of May, 1851, when he was released.

Police Intelligence.
Report of the Chief of Police, George Gilliland, on the 15th inst.
The amount of donations for the building, \$500 00
The amount of donations for the building, \$500 00
The amount of donations for the building, \$500 00

Police Intelligence.
Report of the Chief of Police, George Gilliland, on the 15th inst.
The amount of donations for the building, \$500 00
The amount of donations for the building, \$500 00
The amount of donations for the building, \$500 00

Police Intelligence.
Report of the Chief of Police, George Gilliland, on the 15th inst.
The amount of donations for the building, \$500 00
The amount of donations for the building, \$500 00
The amount of donations for the building, \$500 00

Police Intelligence.
Report of the Chief of Police, George Gilliland, on the 15th inst.
The amount of donations for the building, \$500 00
The amount of donations for the building, \$500 00
The amount of donations for the building, \$500 00

THE FORREST DIVORCE CASE.

Before Chief Justice Oakley.
CATHARINE E. FORREST vs. EDWIN FORREST.
JAN. 16.—The respective parties were in attendance at the Court on the 16th inst. at 10 o'clock. At half past 10 the jurors were called over, and one of them (Mr. Earl) being absent, there was some delay in commencing the trial.

The Chief Justice looked his seat shortly after 10 o'clock. At half past 10 the jurors were called over, and one of them (Mr. Earl) being absent, there was some delay in commencing the trial.

The Chief Justice looked his seat shortly after 10 o'clock. At half past 10 the jurors were called over, and one of them (Mr. Earl) being absent, there was some delay in commencing the trial.

The Chief Justice looked his seat shortly after 10 o'clock. At half past 10 the jurors were called over, and one of them (Mr. Earl) being absent, there was some delay in commencing the trial.

The Chief Justice looked his seat shortly after 10 o'clock. At half past 10 the jurors were called over, and one of them (Mr. Earl) being absent, there was some delay in commencing the trial.

The Chief Justice looked his seat shortly after 10 o'clock. At half past 10 the jurors were called over, and one of them (Mr. Earl) being absent, there was some delay in commencing the trial.

The Chief Justice looked his seat shortly after 10 o'clock. At half past 10 the jurors were called over, and one of them (Mr. Earl) being absent, there was some delay in commencing the trial.

The Chief Justice looked his seat shortly after 10 o'clock. At half past 10 the jurors were called over, and one of them (Mr. Earl) being absent, there was some delay in commencing the trial.

The Chief Justice looked his seat shortly after 10 o'clock. At half past 10 the jurors were called over, and one of them (Mr. Earl) being absent, there was some delay in commencing the trial.

Witness continued—

I do not remember any other difficulty suggested by her except in reference to the pecuniary arrangements. I cannot say that she subsequently had a communication from Mr. Sedgwick. I had several interviews with Mrs. Forrest, but I do not remember any communication from Mr. Sedgwick.

I do not remember any other difficulty suggested by her except in reference to the pecuniary arrangements. I cannot say that she subsequently had a communication from Mr. Sedgwick. I had several interviews with Mrs. Forrest, but I do not remember any communication from Mr. Sedgwick.

I do not remember any other difficulty suggested by her except in reference to the pecuniary arrangements. I cannot say that she subsequently had a communication from Mr. Sedgwick. I had several interviews with Mrs. Forrest, but I do not remember any communication from Mr. Sedgwick.

I do not remember any other difficulty suggested by her except in reference to the pecuniary arrangements. I cannot say that she subsequently had a communication from Mr. Sedgwick. I had several interviews with Mrs. Forrest, but I do not remember any communication from Mr. Sedgwick.

I do not remember any other difficulty suggested by her except in reference to the pecuniary arrangements. I cannot say that she subsequently had a communication from Mr. Sedgwick. I had several interviews with Mrs. Forrest, but I do not remember any communication from Mr. Sedgwick.

I do not remember any other difficulty suggested by her except in reference to the pecuniary arrangements. I cannot say that she subsequently had a communication from Mr. Sedgwick. I had several interviews with Mrs. Forrest, but I do not remember any communication from Mr. Sedgwick.

I do not remember any other difficulty suggested by her except in reference to the pecuniary arrangements. I cannot say that she subsequently had a communication from Mr. Sedgwick. I had several interviews with Mrs. Forrest, but I do not remember any communication from Mr. Sedgwick.

I do not remember any other difficulty suggested by her except in reference to the pecuniary arrangements. I cannot say that she subsequently had a communication from Mr. Sedgwick. I had several interviews with Mrs. Forrest, but I do not remember any communication from Mr. Sedgwick.

I do not remember any other difficulty suggested by her except in reference to the pecuniary arrangements. I cannot say that she subsequently had a communication from Mr. Sedgwick. I had several interviews with Mrs. Forrest, but I do not remember any communication from Mr. Sedgwick.